

Permission to Appeal results – January and February 2021

Case name	Justices	PTA	Reasons given
FS Cairo (Nile Plaza) LLC (Appellant) <i>v</i> Brownlie (as Dependant and Executrix of Professor Sir Ian Brownlie CBE QC) (Respondent) UKSC 2020/0164 Neutral Citation No: [2020] EWCA Civ 996	Lords Reed Lord Lloyd-Jones Lord Briggs	Granted 11 January 2021	(This was an application for permission to cross appeal).
Leisure, Independence, Friendship and Enablement Services Ltd (Appellant) <i>v</i> Commissioners for Her Majesty's Revenue and Customs (Respondent) UKSC 2020/0082 Neutral Citation No: [2020] EWCA Civ 452 The Learning Centre (Romford) Ltd (Appellant) <i>v</i> Commissioners for Her Majesty's Revenue and Customs (Respondent) UKSC 2020/0083 Neutral Citation No: [2020] EWCA Civ 452	Lord Reed Lord Briggs Lord Stephens	Refused 15 January 2021	Permission to appeal be refused because the applications do not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance.
Dhillon (Appellant) <i>v</i> Barclays Bank Plc and another (Respondents) UKS 2020/0120 Neutral Citation No: 92020] EWCA Civ 619	Lord Briggs Lord Hamblen Lord Burrows	Refused 16 February 2021	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law which ought to be considered at this time.
Kelly (Appellant) <i>v</i> Musicians' Union (Respondent) UKSC 2020/0130 Neutral Citation No: [2020] EWCA Civ 736	Lord Briggs Lord Hamblen Lord Burrows	Refused 16 February 2021	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law.
Brar and another (Appellants) <i>v</i> Thirunavukkrasu (Respondent) UKSC 2020/0013 Neutral Citation No: [2019] EWCA Civ 2032	Lord Briggs Lord Hamblen Lord Burrows	Refused 16 February 2021	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law.
AIC Ltd (Respondent) <i>v</i> The Federal Airports Authority of Nigeria (Appellant) UKSC 2020/0206 Neutral Citation No: [2020] EWCA Civ 1585	Lord Briggs Lord Hamblen Lord Burrows	Granted 16 February 2021	

<p>Fratila and another (AP) (Respondents) v Secretary of State for Work and Pensions (Appellant) UKSC 2021/0008 Neutral Citation No: [2020] EWCA Civ 1741</p>	<p>Lord Reed Lord Hodge Lord Lloyd-Jones</p>	<p>Granted 22 February 2021</p>	
<p>Rank Group Plc (Appellant) v Commissioners for Her Majesty's Revenue and Customs (Respondent) UKSC 2020/0108 Neutral Citation No: [2020] EWCA Civ 550</p>	<p>Lord Hodge Lord Sales Lord Burrows</p>	<p>Refused 23 February 2021</p>	<p>Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance.</p>
<p>R (on the application of AD (by his litigation friend LH)) and others (AP) (Appellants) v London Borough of Hackney (Respondent) UKSC 2020/0111 Neutral Citation No: [2020] EWCA Civ 518</p>	<p>Lord Hodge Lord Sales Lord Burrows</p>	<p>Refused 23 February 2021</p>	<p>Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law.</p>
<p>Johnson (Appellant) v Secretary of State for the Home Department (Respondent) UKSC 2020/0197 Neutral Citation No: [2020] EWCA Civ 1032</p>	<p>Lord Hodge Lord Sales Lord Burrows</p>	<p>Refused 23 February 2021</p>	<p>Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law.</p>
<p>R (on the application of London Borough of Hillingdon and others) (Respondents) v High Speed Two Ltd (Appellant) UKSC 2020/0165 Neutral Citation No: [2020] EWCA Civ 1005</p>	<p>Lord Reed Lord Briggs Lord Stephens</p>	<p>Refused 23 February 2021</p>	<p>Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered at this time. Any aspects of the Court of Appeal's reasoning which might, in a suitable case, justify the grant of permission to appeal, could not affect the outcome of the present proceedings.</p>
<p>R (on the application of Youngsam) (AP) (Appellant) v The Parole Board and another (Respondents) UKSC 2019/0228 Neutral Citation No: [2019] EWCA Civ 229</p>	<p>Lord Reed Lady Arden Lord Stephens</p>	<p>Refused 26 February 2021</p>	<p>Permission to appeal be refused on the grounds that (a) Mr Fleming cannot be substituted for Mr Youngsam as Appellant and (b) the appeal is out of time.</p>

R (on the application of TD and others) (AP) (Respondents) <i>v</i> Secretary of State for Work and Pensions (Appellant) UKSC 2020/0119 Neutral Citation No: [2020] EWCA Civ 618	Lord Reed Lady Arden Lord Stephens	Refused 26 February 2021	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the Supreme Court at this time. The panel acknowledges that the meaning of “status” under article 14 is not as clear as it might be, but the issue was thoroughly considered at the domestic level in the recent case of R (<i>on the application of Stott</i>) (AP) (Appellant) <i>v</i> Secretary of State for Justice (Respondent), and has been examined again more recently by an enlarged constitution in <i>SC, CB and 8 children (AP) v Secretary of State for Work and Pensions and others</i> .
Commissioners for Her Majesty's Revenue and Customs (Appellant) <i>v</i> NCL Investments Ltd and another (Respondents) UKSC 2020/0125 Neutral Citation No: [2020] EWCA Civ 663	Lord Reed Lady Arden Lord Stephens	Granted 26 February 2021	
Barton and others (Respondents) <i>v</i> Morris and another in place of Gwyn-Jones (deceased) (Appellant) UKSC 2020/0002 Neutral Citation No: [2019] EWCA Civ 1999	Lord Hodge Lord Sales Lord Burrows	Granted 25 February 2021	
Gunn (Respondent) <i>v</i> Khan (Appellant) UKSC 2021/0001 Neutral Citation No: [2021] EWCA Civ 30	Lord Lloyd-Jones Lord Leggatt Lord Stephens	Refused 26 February 2021	Permission to appeal be refused because even if this were admissible without a certified point of law of general public importance, there is no arguable point of law.
World Programming Ltd (Respondent) <i>v</i> SAS Institute Inc (Appellant) UKSC 2020/0118 Neutral Citation No: [2020] EWCA Civ 599	Lord Lloyd-Jones Lord Leggatt Lord Stephens	Granted 26 February 2021	
In the matter of Y (Children) UKSC 2020/0172 Neutral Citation No: [2020] EWCA Civ 1038 In the matter of Y (Children) No 2 UKSC 2020/0193 Neutral Citation No: [2020] EWCA Civ 1038	Lord Lloyd-Jones Lord Leggatt Lord Stephens	Refused 26 February 2021	Permission to appeal be refused because the applications do not raise an arguable point of law. There is no jurisdiction to consider the Mother’s application, the applicant having succeeded on the only issue on which permission was given to appeal to the Court of Appeal. In any event, there is no arguable point of law.
R (on the application of Simonis) (Appellant) <i>v</i> Arts Council England (Respondent) UKSC 2020/0075 Neutral Citation No: [2020] EWCA Civ 374	Lord Lloyd-Jones Lord Leggatt Lord Stephens	Refused 26 February 2021	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law.

<p>Tabidi (Appellant) <i>v</i> British Broadcasting Corporation (Respondent) UKSC 2020/0128 Neutral Citation No: [2020] EWCA Civ 733</p>	<p>Lord Lloyd-Jones Lord Leggatt Lord Stephens</p>	<p>Refused 26 February 2021</p>	<p>Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law.</p>
<p>R (on the application of Coughlan) (Appellant) <i>v</i> Minister for the Cabinet Office (Respondent) UKSC 2020/0129 Neutral Citation No: [2020] EWCA Civ 723</p>	<p>Lord Briggs Lord Hamblen Lord Burrows</p>	<p>Granted 26 February 2021</p>	