

## Permission to Appeal results – March and April 2016

Case name	Justices	PTA	Reasons given
R (on the application of Johnson) (AP) (Appellant) v Secretary of State for the Home Department (Respondent) UKSC 2016/0042 Neutral Citation No: [2016] EWCA Civ 22	Lord Kerr Lord Clarke Lord Reed	Granted 1 March 2016	
R (on the application of HC) (Appellant) v Secretary of State for Works and Pensions and others (Respondents) UKSC 2015/0215 Neutral Citation No: [2015] EWCA Civ 49	Lady Hale Lord Reed Lord Toulson	Granted 7 March 2016	
R (on the application of The Public Law Project) (Appellant) v Lord Chancellor (Respondent) UKSC 2015/0255 Neutral Citation No: [2015] EWCA Civ 1193	Lady Hale Lord Wilson Lord Reed	Granted 15 March 2016	
In the matter of H (A Child) UKSC 2016/0049 Neutral Citation No: [2015] EWCA Civ 1284	Lad Hale Lord Wilson Lord Reed	Refused 17 March 2016	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise a point which ought to be considered by the Supreme Court at this time.
Armes (Appellant) v Nottinghamshire County Council (Respondent) UKSC 2016/0004 Neutral Citation No: [2015] EWCA Civ 1139 [2015] EWHC 4005	Lady Hale Lord Clarke Lord Carnwath	Granted 21 March 2016	
In the matter of D (A Child) UKSC 2016/0048 Neutral Citation No: [2016] EWCA Civ 12	Lady Hale Lord Kerr Lord Wilson	Granted and Refused on terms 21 March 2016	Granted on Article 23(b) issue.  Respondent Mother be refused permission (i) to cross-appeal (ii) to re-open the arguments on the Article 23(a), (c) and (d) issues (iii) to introduce the new issue raised.

<p>R <i>v</i> Alstom Network UK Limited (Appellant) UKSC 2016/0032 Neutral Citation No: [2016] EWCA Crim 2</p> <p>R <i>v</i> Hallett (Appellant) UKSC 2016/0033 Neutral Citation No: [2016] EWCA Crim 2</p> <p>R <i>v</i> Hill UKSC 2016/0034 Neutral Citation No: [2016] EWCA Crim 2</p>	<p>Lord Kerr Lord Reed Lord Toulson</p>	<p>Refused 21 March 2016</p>	
<p>Lord Chancellor (Respondent) <i>v</i> Rayner (Appellant) UKSC 2015/0249 Neutral Citation No: [2015] EWCA Civ 1124</p>	<p>Lord Neuberger Lord Sumption Lord Hughes</p>	<p>Refused 22 March 2016</p>	<p>Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law.</p>
<p>MGN Limited (Appellant) <i>v</i> Gulati and others (Respondents) UKSC 2016/0016 Neutral Citation No: [2015] EWCA Civ 1291</p>	<p>Lord Neuberger Lord Sumption Lord Hughes</p>	<p>Refused 22 March 2016</p>	<p>Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law.</p>
<p>AH (Algeria) (AP) (Appellant) <i>v</i> Secretary of State for the Home Department (Respondent) Case No: UKSC 2016/0012</p>	<p>Lord Neuberger Lord Sumption Lord Hughes</p>	<p>Refused 22 March 2016</p>	<p>Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law.</p>
<p>R (on the application of W and others (AP) (Appellants) <i>v</i> Secretary of State for Health (Respondent) Case No: UKSC 2016/0014 Neutral Citation No: [2015] EWCA Civ 1034</p>	<p>Lord Neuberger Lord Sumption Lord Hughes</p>	<p>Refused 22 March 2016</p>	<p>Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law.</p>
<p>Watts (Appellant) <i>v</i> Watts (Respondent) Case No: UKSC 2016/0022 Neutral Citation No: [2015] EWCA Civ 1297</p>	<p>Lord Neuberger Lord Sumption Lord Hughes</p>	<p>Refused 22 March 2016</p>	<p>Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law.</p>

Saleem (AP) (Appellant) <i>v</i> London Borough of Wandsworth (Respondent) Case No: UKSC 2015/0253 Neutral Citation No: [2015] EWCA Civ 780	Lord Neuberger Lord Sumption Lord Hughes	Refused 22 March 2016	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law.
Reyes (AP) (Appellant) <i>v</i> Al Malki and another (Respondents) Case No: UKSC 2016/0023 Neutral Citation No: [2015] EWCA Civ 32	Lord Neuberger Lord Sumption Lord Hughes	Granted 22 March 2016	
BSI Enterprises Limited and another (Appellants) <i>v</i> Blue Mountain Music Limited (Respondent) Case No: UKSC 2015/0252 Neutral Citation No: [2015] EWCA Civ 1151	Lord Neuberger Lord Sumption Lord Hughes	Refused 22 March 2016	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law.
B (Appellant) <i>v</i> B (Respondent) Case No: UKSC 2015/0250 Neutral Citation No: [2015] EWCA Civ 1166	Lady Hale Lord Clarke Lord Carnwath	Refused 22 March 2016	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law.
Samuel Smith Old Brewery (Tadcaster) (Appellant) <i>v</i> Selby District Council (Respondent) Case No: UKSC 2015/0244 Neutral Citation No: [2015] EWCA Civ 1107	Lady Hale Lord Clarke Lord Carnwath	Refused 22 March 2016	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law. The Court of Appeal were right for the reasons they gave.
Naeem (Appellant) <i>v</i> Secretary of State for Justice (Respondent) Case No: UKSC 2015/0005 Neutral Citation No: [2015] EWCA Civ 1264	Lady Hale Lord Clarke Lord Carnwath	Granted 22 March 2016	
R (on the application of Kiarie) (AP) (Appellant) <i>v</i> Secretary of State for the Home Department (Respondent) UKSC 2016/0009 Neutral Citation No: [2015] EWCA Civ 1020	Lord Kerr Lord Reed Lord Toulson	Granted 22 March 2016	

R (on the application of Byndloss) (AP) (Appellant) <i>v</i> Secretary of State for the Home Department (Respondent) UKSC 2016/0011 Neutral Citation No: [2015] EWCA Civ 1020			
O'Neill (Respondent) <i>v</i> Ulster Bank Limited (Appellant) (Northern Ireland) UKSC 2015/0241 Neutral Citation No: [2015] NICA 64	Lord Kerr Lord Reed Lord Toulson	Refused 22 March 2016	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law which ought to be considered by the Supreme Court at this time. There is potentially a point of law but it is to be explored only after evidence has been heard.
Associated Newspapers Limited (Appellant) <i>v</i> Weller and others (Respondents) UKSC 2015/0251 Neutral Citation No: [2015] EWCA Civ 1176	Lord Neuberger Lord Sumption Lord Hughes	Refused 23 March 2016	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law.
Bonsall and another (Appellants) <i>v</i> Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government (Respondent) UKSC 2016/0021 Neutral Citation No: [2015] EWCA Civ 1246	Lady Hale Lord Clarke Lord Carnwath	Refused 11 April 2016	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise a point of law which ought to be considered by the Supreme Court at this time.
IPCO (Nigeria) Limited (Respondent) <i>v</i> Nigeria National Petroleum Corporation (Appellant) UKSC 2015/0247 Neutral Citation No: [2015] EWCA Civ 1144	Lord Mance Lord Wilson Lord Hodge	Granted 11 April 2016	On Ground 1.
R (on the application of LS) (AP) (Appellant) <i>v</i> Director of Legal Aid Casework and another (Respondents) UKSC 2015/0087 Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 1622	Lord Neuberger Lord Clarke Lord Hughes	Refused 14 April 2016	Permission to appeal be refused because the applications do not raise an arguable point of law

<p>R (on the application of B) (AP) (Appellant) <i>v</i> Director of Legal Aid Casework and another (Respondents)  UKSC 2015/0085  Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 1622</p>			
<p>In the matter of EC (a child) (AP) (Scotland)  UKSC 2016/0051  Neutral Citation No: [2015] CSIH/74</p>	<p>Lady Hale  Lord Wilson  Lord Reed</p>	<p>Refused  14 April 2016</p>	<p>Permission to appeal be refused because this is not a case which ought to be considered by the Supreme Court at this time. In refusing permission to appeal, the Inner House accepted that there was an arguable case in law, but left it to this Court to decide whether permission should be granted. We agree that the question whether section 84(5)(c)(ii) of the Adoption and Children (Scotland) Act 2007 sets a threshold test before wider questions of the child's welfare come into play, and if so precisely what that test entails, may raise points of law of general public importance suitable for consideration by this Court. However, in the particular and very unfortunate circumstances of this case, we see no realistic prospect of this court being persuaded to set aside the interlocutor of the sheriff, as modified by the Inner House. An appeal would further delay the final determination of the future of the child, which would not be in her best interests.</p>
<p>Gavin Edmondson Solicitors Ltd (Respondent) <i>v</i> Haven Insurance Company Ltd (Appellant)  UKSC 2016/0010  Neutral Citation No: [2015] EWCA Civ 1230</p>	<p>Lord Mance  Lord Wilson  Lord Hodge</p>	<p>Granted on Grounds 2-9  Refused on Ground 1  18 April 2016</p>	<p>Permission to appeal be refused on Ground 1 because that ground does not raise a point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the Supreme Court and because the Supreme Court wishes to hear both sides' submissions on the correct propositions of law relevant to the determination of Grounds 2 to 9.</p>
<p>Globalia Business Travel S.A.U (formerly TravelPlan S.A.U) of Spain (Respondent) <i>v</i> Fulton Shipping Inc (Appellant)  UKSC 2016/0026  Neutral Citation No: [2015] EWCA Civ 1299</p>	<p>Lord Mance  Lord Wilson  Lord Hodge</p>	<p>Granted on terms  14 April 2016</p>	
<p>PJS (Appellant) <i>v</i> News Group Newspapers Ltd (Respondent)  UKSC 2016/0080  Neutral Citation No: [2016] EWCA Civ 393</p>	<p>Lord Neuberger  Lady Hale  Lord Mance</p>	<p>Granted  19 April 2016</p>	

SM (Algeria) (Appellant) <i>v</i> Entry Clearance Officer, UK Visa Section (Respondent) UKSC 2015/0243 Neutral Citation No: [2015] EWCA Civ 1109	Lord Mance Lord Wilson Lord Hodge	Granted in part 28 April 2016	
--	---	----------------------------------	--