

## Permission to Appeal results – March and April 2018

Case name	Justices	PTA	Reasons given
Actavis Group PTC EHF and others (Respondents) <i>v</i> ICOS Corporation and another (Appellants) UKSC 2017/0214 Neutral Citation No: [2017] EWCA Civ 1671	Lord Kerr Lord Carnwath Lord Briggs	Granted 12 Mar 2018	
Alireza (Respondent) <i>v</i> Radwan (Appellant) UKSC 2017/0218 Neutral Citation No: [2017] EWCA Civ 1545	Lord Wilson Lord Sumption Lord Briggs	Refused 12 Mar 2018	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance.
Onur Air Tasimacilik AS (Appellant) <i>v</i> Goldtrail Travel Ltd (in Liquidation) (Respondent) UKSC 2017/0232 Neutral Citation No: [2017] EWCA Civ 1830	Lord Wilson Lord Sumption Lord Briggs	Refused 12 Mar 2018	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance.
Sabbagh (Respondent) <i>v</i> Khoury and others (Appellants) UKSC 2017/0201 Neutral Citation No: [2017] EWCA Civ 1120	Lord Wilson Lord Sumption Lord Briggs	Refused 12 Mar 2018	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law. In relation to the point of European Law said to be raised by or in response to the application it is not necessary to request the Court of Justice to give any ruling because the answer is so obvious as to leave no scope for any reasonable doubt.
Bukovsky (Appellant) <i>v</i> Crown Prosecution Service (Respondent) UKSC 2017/0189 Neutral Citation No: [2017] EWCA Civ 1529	Lord Wilson Lord Sumption Lord Briggs	Refused 12 Mar 2018	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance.
PJSC Tatneft (Respondent) <i>v</i> Kolomoisky (Appellant) UKSC 2017/0194 Neutral Citation No: [2017] EWCA Civ 1581  PJSC Tatneft (Respondent) <i>v</i> Ovcharenko (Appellant) UKSC 2017/0196 Neutral Citation No: [2017] EWCA Civ 1581	Lord Kerr Lord Carnwath Lord Briggs	Refused 13 Mar 2018	Permission to appeal be refused because the applications do not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered at this time bearing in mind that the cases have already been the subject of judicial decision and reviewed on appeal.

PJSC Tatneft (Respondent) <i>v</i> Bogolyubov (Appellant) UKSC 2017/0197 Neutral Citation No: [2017] EWCA Civ 1581			
PJSC Tatneft (Respondent) <i>v</i> Yaroslavsky (Appellant) UKSC 2017/0198 Neutral Citation No: [2017] EWCA Civ 1581			
PJSC Tatneft (Respondent) <i>v</i> Yaroslavsky (2) (Appellant) UKSC 2017/0199 Neutral Citation No: [2017] EWCA Civ 1581			
Mengiste (Appellant) <i>v</i> Endowment Trust for the Rehabilitation of Tigray and others (Respondents) UKSC 2017/0204 Neutral Citation No: [2017] EWCA Civ 1326	Lord Kerr Lord Carnwath Lord Briggs	Refused 13 Mar 2018	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered at this time bearing in mind that the case has already been the subject of judicial decision and reviewed on appeal.
In the matter of an application by Chris Murphy for Judicial Review (Northern Ireland) UKSC 2017/0210 Neutral Citation No: [2017] NICA 51 [2017] NIQB 35	Lord Kerr Lord Carnwath Lord Briggs	Refused 14 Mar 2018	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered at this time bearing in mind that the case has already been the subject of judicial decision and reviewed on appeal.
Poole Borough Council (Respondent) <i>v</i> GN (Through his Litigation Friend “The Official Solicitor”) and another (Appellants) UKSC 2018/0012 Neutral Citation No: [2017] EWCA Civ 2158	Lady Hale Lord Hodge Lord Lloyd-Jones	Granted 15 Mar 2018	
Secretary of State for Justice (Respondent) <i>v</i> MM (Appellant) UKSC 2017/0212 Neutral Citation No. [2017] EWCA Civ 194	Lady Hale Lord Hodge Lord Lloyd-Jones	Granted 15 Mar 2018	

Routier and another (Appellants) <i>v</i> Commissioners for Her Majesty's Revenue and Customs (Respondent) UKSC 2017/0190 Neutral Citation No. [2017] EWCA Civ 1584	Lady Hale Lord Hodge Lord Lloyd-Jones	Granted 15 Mar 2018	
R (on the application of H and others) (AP) (Appellants) <i>v</i> Ealing London Borough Council (Respondent) UKSC 2017/0209 Neutral Citation No. [2017] EWCA Civ 1127	Lady Hale Lord Hodge Lord Lloyd-Jones	Refused 15 Mar 2018	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered at this time. There may be points of law of general public importance in relation to both Article 8 and section 11 of the Children Act 2004 but as both policies have been withdrawn this is not a suitable case in which to consider them.
Emirates (Appellant) <i>v</i> Gahan and others (Respondents) UKSC 2017/0184 Neutral Citation No. [2017] EWCA Civ 1530	Lady Hale Lord Hodge Lord Lloyd-Jones	Refused 15 Mar 2018	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law because it is a point of EU law to which the Court of Justice of the European Union has given a clear answer.
Djaba (Appellant) <i>v</i> West London Mental Health NHS Trust and another (Respondents) UKSC 2017/0217 Neutral Citation No. [2017] EWCA Civ 436	Lady Hale Lord Hodge Lord Lloyd-Jones	Refused 15 Mar 2018	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the Supreme Court in this case. It cannot be ruled out that the conditions of a patient's detention in hospital might be relevant to whether or not the statutory criteria for detention are met and therefore whether continued detention is compatible with the patient's Article 5 rights. But there is no doubt, on the factual findings in this case, that the statutory conditions for detention are met and therefore that the patient's Article 5 rights have not been violated.
In the matter of L-S (A Child) (AP) UKSC 2018/0033 Neutral Citation No. [2017] EWCA Civ 2177	Lady Hale Lord Hodge Lord Lloyd-Jones	Refused 15 Mar 2018	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise a point of law of general public importance.
Royal Mail Group Ltd (Respondent) <i>v</i> Jhuti (Appellant) UKSC 2017/0207 Neutral Citation No. [2017] EWCA Civ 1632	Lady Hale Lord Hodge Lord Lloyd-Jones	Granted 19 Mar 2018	

Lachaux (Respondent) v Independent Print Limited and another (Appellants) UKSC 2017/0175 Neutral Citation No. [2017] EWCA Civ 1334	Lord Kerr Lord Reed Lady Black	Granted on conditions 21 Mar 2018	
Holley (Appellant) v London Borough of Hillingdon (Respondent) UKSC 2017/0226 Neutral Citation No. [2016] EWCA Civ 1052	Lord Kerr Lord Hodge Lady Black	Refused 21Mar 2018	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered at this time bearing in mind that the case has already been the subject of judicial decision and reviewed on appeal.
R (on the application of Privacy International) (Appellant) v Investigatory Powers Tribunal and others (Respondents) UKSC 2018/0004 Neutral Citation No. [2017] EWCA Civ 1868	Lord Mance Lady Black Lord Lloyd-Jones	Granted 22 Mar 2018	
Konecny (Appellant) v District Court Czech Republic (Respondent) UKSC 2017/0200 Neutral Citation No.	Lord Mance Lord Hughes Lady Black	Granted 23 Mar 2018	
Vedanta Resources PLC and another (Appellants) v Lungowe and others (Respondents) UKSC 2017/0185 Neutral Citation No. [2017] EWCA Civ 1528	Lord Mance Lord Hughes Lady Black	Granted 23 Mar 2018	
FK (Appellant) v Stuttgart State Prosecutor's Office and another (Respondent) UKSC 2017/0225 Neutral Citation No. [2017] EWHC 2160	Lord Mance Lord Hughes Lady Black	Refused 26 Mar 2018	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered at this time bearing in mind that the material available on the warrant itself justified the order made.
Cole (Appellant) v Howlett and others (Respondents) UKSC 2017/0213 Neutral Citation No. [2015] EWHC 1697 (Ch)	Lord Kerr Lord Carnwath Lord Briggs	Refused 27 Mar 2018	Permission to appeal be refused because the applications do not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered at this time bearing in mind that the case has already been the subject of judicial decision and reviewed on appeal.

<p>JR (Jamaica) (AP) (Appellant) <i>v</i>  Secretary of State for the Home Department  (Respondent)  UKSC 2017/0211  Neutral Citation No. [2017] EWCA Civ 316</p>	<p>Lord Mance  Lord Hughes  Lady Black</p>	<p>Granted  10 April 2018</p>	
<p>UBS AG (London Branch) and others (Appellants)  <i>v</i>  Kommunale Wasserwerke Leipzig GMBH and  another (Respondents)  UKSC 2017/0188  Neutral Citation No. [2017] EWCA Civ 1567</p>	<p>Lord Mance  Lord Hughes  Lady Black</p>	<p>Refused  10 April 2018</p>	<p>Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise a point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered at this time bearing in mind that the case has already been the subject of judicial decision and reviewed on appeal.</p>